## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN JOSHUA DINKLE, #309 785,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-CV-86-WHA
ALABAMA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,	) [WO] )
Defendants.	) )

## RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On February 8, 2018, the court granted Plaintiff fourteen days submit a prison account statement from the account clerk at the Bibb Correctional Facility. Doc. 3. Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the February 8 order would result in a Recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. *Id*.

The requisite time has passed and Plaintiff has not complied with the court's February 8, 2018, order. The court, therefore, concludes that this case is due to be dismissed. *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989) (As a general rule, where a litigant has been forewarned, dismissal for failure to obey a court order is not an abuse of discretion.); *see also Tanner v. Neal*, 232 Fed. Appx. 924 (11th Cir. 2007) (affirming *sua sponte* dismissal without prejudice of inmate's § 1983 action for failure to file an amended complaint in compliance with court's prior order directing amendment and warning of consequences for failure to comply).

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge this case be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the order of the court and to prosecute this action.

Case 2:18-cv-00086-WHA-SRW Document 4 Filed 03/29/18 Page 2 of 2

The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to file the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge

and to serve a copy on Plaintiff. Plaintiff may file any objection to this Recommendation on or

before April 12, 2018. Any objection filed must specifically identify the factual findings and legal

conclusions in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which Plaintiff objects. Frivolous,

conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar a party from a de novo determination by the District Court of

factual findings and legal issues covered in the report and shall "waive the right to challenge on

appeal the district court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions" except upon

grounds of plain error if necessary in the interests of justice. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Resolution Trust

Co. v. Hallmark Builders, Inc., 996 F.2d 1144, 1149 (11th Cir. 1993); Henley v. Johnson, 885

F.2d 790, 794 (11th Cir. 1989).

Done, on this the 29th day of March, 2018.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker

Susan Russ Walker

United States Magistrate Judge

2